

# INFUSION THERAPY

## STANDARDS OF PRACTICE

Developed by

Lisa Gorski, MS, RN, HHCNS-BC, CRNI®, FAAN

Lynn Hadaway, MEd, RN-BC, CRNI®

Mary E. Hagle, PhD, RN-BC, FAAN

Mary McGoldrick, MS, RN, CRNI®

Marsha Orr, MS, RN

Darcy Doellman, MSN, RN, CRNI®, VA-BC

REVISED 2016

315 Norwood Park South, Norwood, MA 02062

**[www.ins1.org](http://www.ins1.org)**

## Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice Reviewers

---

Jeanette Adams, PhD, RN, ACNS-BC, CRNI®

Steve Bierman, MD

Daphne Broadhurst, BScN, RN, CVAA®

Wes Cetnarowski, MD

Vineet Chopra, MD, MSc

Michael Cohen, RPh, MS, ScD(hon), DPS(hon), FASHP

Ann Corrigan, MS, BSN, RN, CRNI®

Lynn Czaplewski, MS, RN, ACNS-BC, CRNI®,  
AOCNS®

Julie DeLisle, MSN, RN, OCN®

Michelle DeVries, MPH, CIC

Loretta Dorn, MSN, RN, CRNI®

Kimberly Duff, BSN, RN

Cheryl Dumont, PhD, RN, CRNI®

Beth Fabian, BA, RN, CRNI®

Stephanie Fedorinchik, BSN, RN, VA-BC

Michelle Fox, BSN, RN

Marie Frazier, MSN, RN, CRNI®

Claudia Freitag, PhD

Doreen Gendreau, MSN, MS, CNS, RN-BC, CWCN,  
DAPWCA

Lynn Gettrust, MSN, RN

Connie Girgenti, BSNc, RN, VA-BC

Sheila Hale, BSN, RN, CRNI®, VA-BC

Dora Hallock, MSN, RN, CRNI®, OCN®, CHPN

Max Holder, BSN, RN, CEN, CRNI®, VA-BC

Pamela Jacobs, MHA, BSN, RN, CRNI®, OCN®

James Joseph, MPH, BSN, RN, CRNI®, VA-BC

Matthias Kahl

Pat Kienle, RPh, MPA, FASHP

Melissa Leone, BSN, RN

Michelle Mandrack, MSN, RN

Alicia Mares, BSN, RN, CRNI®

Britt Meyer, MSN, RN, CRNI®, VA-BC, NE-BC

Crystal Miller, MA, BSN, RN, CRNI®

Diana Montez, BSN, RN

Tina Morgan, BSN, RN

Russ Nassof, Esq.

Barb Nickel, APRN-CNS, CRNI®, CCRN

Shawn O'Connell, MS, RN

Susan Paparella, MSN, RN

Roxanne Perucca, MSN, RN, CRNI®

Ann Plohal, PhD, APRN, ACNS-BC, CRNI®

Kathy Puglise, MSN/ED, RN, CRNI®

Vicky Reith, MS, RN, CNS, CEN, APRN-BC

Claire Rickard, PhD, RN

Robin Huneke Rosenberg, MA, RN-BC, CRNI®,  
VA-BC

Diane Rutkowski, BSN, RN, CRNI®

Laura Rutledge, MN, RN, CRNI®

Ofelia Santiago, BSN, RN, CRNI®

Liz Sharpe, DNP, ARNP, NNP-BC, VA-BC

Marvin Siegel, RN, CRNI®

Marc Stranz, PharmD

Tim Vanderveen, PharmD, MS

Cora Vizcarra, MBA, BSN, RN, CRNI®, VA-BC

Paula Foiw Washesky, MBA, RD, LDN

Steve Weber

Sharon Weinstein, MS, RN, CRNI®, CSP, FAAN,  
FACW

Marcia Wise, RN, VA-BC

Cheryl Wozniak

Mary Zugcic, MS, RN, ACNS-BS, CRNI®

## Editor

Mary Alexander, MA, RN,  
CRNI<sup>®</sup>\*, CAE, FAAN

## Managing Editor

Dorothy Lohmann

## Editorial Offices

INS  
315 Norwood Park South  
Norwood, MA 02062  
(781) 440-9408  
Fax: (781) 440-9409

## For advertising information:

### Product Advertising

Michelle Smith, Senior Account  
Manager  
michelle.smith@wolterskluwer.com  
(646) 674-6537

### Recruitment Advertising

*North/East:* Mike Rusch, Field  
Sales Rep  
Mike.rusch@wolterskluwer.com  
(215) 521-8404  
*South/East:* Linda Barta, Regional  
Sales Manager  
Linda.barta@wolterskluwer.com  
(800) 237-1342  
*West:* Michaela Taylor, Advertising  
Sales Rep  
Michaela.taylor@wolterskluwer.com  
(415) 603-9197

## INS Board of Directors

### President

Cheryl Dumont, PhD, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

### President-Elect

Richelle Hamblin, MSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>,  
RN-BC

### Presidential Advisor

Ann Plohal, PhD, APRN, ACNS-BC,  
CRNI<sup>®</sup>

### Secretary/Treasurer

Lisa Bruce, BSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>, IgCN

### Directors-at-Large

Diedre Bird, BSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>  
Max Holder, BSN, RN, CEN,  
CRNI<sup>®</sup>, VA-BC

### Public Member

Donald Filibeck, PharmD, MBA

## INS Chief Executive Officer

Mary Alexander, MA, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>,  
CAE, FAAN

## Editorial Reviewers

Mohammad Abdollahi, PharmD, PhD  
Jeanette Adams, PhD, RN,  
ACNS-BC, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Melissa Adler, MSN, RN, CMSRN,  
OCN<sup>®</sup>

Christopher Basnett, MSN, RN,  
CRNI<sup>®</sup>, FNP-BC

Deborah Benvenuto, MBA, BS, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Georgene Bloomfield, RPh, MS

Wendy L. Boersma, BSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Elizabeth Ann Bonilla, MEd, BSN

Tammy Burdeaux, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Nicholas Cardinale, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Pamela Clark, BSN

Lynda Cook, MSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Nancy Corbitt, BSN, RN, OCN<sup>®</sup>, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Ann M. Corrigan, MS, BSN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Judybeth Crowell, BSN, RN,  
CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Kate Douglass, MS, C, CNS, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Cheryl J. Dumont, PhD, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Beth Fabian, BA, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Rebecca Anne French, DNP, MSN,  
BS, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>, ARNP-C

Anne Marie Frey, BSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Nancy Fusillo, MSN, ARNP-BC,  
OCN<sup>®</sup>, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

John Hudson Garrett, Jr, PhD, MSN,  
MPH, APRN, FNP, CSRN,

VA-BC<sup>™</sup>, DON-CLTCT<sup>™</sup>, C-NAC<sup>™</sup>

Tracey C. Gaslin, MSN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>, CPNP

Catherine Ann Guy, BSN

Lynn C. Hadaway, MEd, RN-BC, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Dora Hallock, MSN, RN

Donna Hammond, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Judy Hankins, BSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Michelle L. Hawes, MSN, RN,  
CRNI<sup>®</sup>, VA-BC

Mark R. Hunter, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Debra Johnson, MPH, BSN, RN,  
OCN<sup>®</sup>, CIC

Sarah M. Jones, MS, RN, ACNS-  
BC, AOCNS<sup>®</sup>, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Alene J. Keller, BSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Edward Korycka, CRNI<sup>®</sup>, OCN<sup>®</sup>

Dana Kyles, BSN

James Lacy, BSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Helen Larson, BSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Peggy Link, MSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Rose Anne Lonsway, MA, BSN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Lisa P. L. Low, MPhil, BN, RHV, RN

Lauro Manalo, Jr, MSN

Rhonda Maneval, DEd

Mary McGoldrick, MS, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Britt Meyer, MSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>,  
VA-BC, NE-BC

Nancy Mortlock, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>, OCN<sup>®</sup>,  
CSRN

Inez Nichols, MSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>,  
FNP-BC

Julie D. Painter, MSN, RN, OCN<sup>®</sup>

Alvisa Palese, MSN

Susan Paparella, MSN, RN

Lorelei Papke, MSN, CEd, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

France Paquet, MScN

Evridiki E. Patelarou, PhD

Michael Perlow, DNS, RN

Roxanne Perucca, MS, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Cynthia Peterson, MS, RN

Lynn Phillips, MSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Christine Pierce, MSN, RN, FACHE

Susan Markel Poole, MS, BSN,  
CRNI<sup>®</sup>, CNSN

Jennifer Riesenberger, BSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Robin Elizabeth Huneke Rosenberg,  
MA, RN-BC, CRNI<sup>®</sup>, VA-BC

Kellianne C. Rosenthal, MS, RN,  
CRNI<sup>®</sup>, ANP, APRN, BC

Timothy Royer, BSN

Jodie Lockman-Samkowiak, DNP,  
RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Fe San Angel, BSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>,  
OCN<sup>®</sup>

Felicia Schaps, BSN, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>,  
OCN<sup>®</sup>, CNSC, CQA

Marvin Siegel, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Kevin Stansbury, MBA, RN

Sandeep Tripathi, MD

Cora Vizcarra, MBA, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Susan H. Weaver, MSN, RN,  
NEA-BC, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Sharon M. Weinstein, MS, CRNI<sup>®</sup>,  
FAAN

Judy G. Williams, BA, RN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Kathleen Wilson, MPH, BSN, RN,  
CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Ann Zonderman, JD, BSN, CRNI<sup>®</sup>

Mary Zugic, MS, RN, ACNS-BC,  
CRNI<sup>®</sup>

\*CRNI is a registered trademark of the Infusion Nurses Certification Corporation.

The *Journal of Infusion Nursing*, the official publication of the Infusion Nurses Society (INS), seeks to promote excellence in infusion nursing by presenting new research, clinical reviews, case studies, and professional development information relevant to the practice of infusion therapy. Articles selected for publication represent the broad scope of the infusion specialty and draw on the expertise of all health care providers who participate in the delivery of infusion.

## Contents

Note: The "S" in page numbers denotes supplement issue and does not refer to a specific standard.

Foreword	S1
About the Standards of Practice Committee	S3
Preface	S5
A Message from BD Medical	S6
Acknowledgments	S7
Methodology for Developing the Standards of Practice	S8
Strength of the Body of Evidence	S10

### STANDARDS OF PRACTICE

#### SECTION ONE: INFUSION THERAPY PRACTICE

1. Patient Care	S11
2. Special Patient Populations	S11
3. Scope of Practice	S13
4. Infusion Team	S17
5. Competency Assessment and Validation	S18
6. Quality Improvement	S21
7. Evidence-Based Practice and Research	S24
8. Patient Education	S25
9. Informed Consent	S26
10. Documentation in the Medical Record	S28

#### SECTION TWO: PATIENT AND CLINICIAN SAFETY

11. Adverse and Serious Adverse Events	S31
12. Product Evaluation, Integrity, and Defect Reporting	S32
13. Medication Verification	S34
14. Latex Sensitivity or Allergy	S35
15. Hazardous Drugs and Waste	S36

#### SECTION THREE: INFECTION PREVENTION AND CONTROL

16. Hand Hygiene	S38
17. Compounding and Preparation of Parenteral Solutions and Medications	S39
18. Medical Waste and Sharps Safety	S40
19. Standard Precautions	S41
20. Transmission-Based Precautions	S42
21. Disinfection of Durable Medical Equipment	S43

#### SECTION FOUR: INFUSION EQUIPMENT

22. Vascular Visualization	S44
23. Central Vascular Access Device (CVAD) Tip Location	S46
24. Flow-Control Devices	S48
25. Blood and Fluid Warming	S49

#### SECTION FIVE: VASCULAR ACCESS DEVICE (VAD) SELECTION AND PLACEMENT

26. Vascular Access Device (VAD) Planning	S51
I. Short Peripheral Catheters	S51
II. Midline Catheters	S52
III. Central Vascular Access Devices (CVADs) (Nontunneled, Tunneled, Implanted Ports)	S52
IV. Arterial Catheters	S53
27. Site Selection	S54
I. Peripheral Venous Access via Short Peripheral Catheters	S54
II. Peripheral Venous Access via Midline Catheters	S54

III. Central Venous Access via Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters	S55
IV. Central Venous Access via Nontunneled Central Vascular Access Devices (CVADs)	S55
V. Central Venous Access via Tunneled Central Vascular Access Devices (CVADs) and Implanted Ports	S55
VI. Peripheral Arterial Access	S55
VII. External Jugular Vein Access	S56
28. Implanted Vascular Access Ports	S58
29. Hemodialysis Vascular Access Devices (VADs)	S59
30. Umbilical Catheters	S60
31. Apheresis Catheters	S62
32. Local Anesthesia for Vascular Access Device (VAD) Placement and Access	S63
33. Vascular Access Site Preparation and Device Placement	S64
I. General	S64
II. Short Peripheral and Midline Catheters	S64
III. Central Vascular Access Device (CVAD)	S65
IV. Arterial Catheters	S66

#### SECTION SIX: VASCULAR ACCESS DEVICE (VAD) MANAGEMENT

34. Needleless Connectors	S68
35. Filtration	S70
36. Add-on Devices	S71
37. Vascular Access Device (VAD) Stabilization	S72
38. Joint Stabilization	S74
39. Site Protection	S75

## Contents

Note: The "S" in page numbers denotes supplement issue and does not refer to a specific standard.

40. Flushing and Locking	S77	III. Surgically Placed CVADs: Tunneled Cuffed/Implanted Ports	S92	<b>SECTION EIGHT: OTHER INFUSION DEVICES</b>	
41. Vascular Access Device (VAD) Assessment, Care, and Dressing Changes	S81	IV. Arterial Catheters	S93	54. Intraspinal Access Devices	S118
42. Administration Set Change	S84	<b>SECTION SEVEN: VASCULAR ACCESS DEVICE (VAD)-RELATED COMPLICATIONS</b>		55. Intraosseous (IO) Access Devices	S120
I. General	S84	45. Phlebitis	S95	56. Continuous Subcutaneous Infusion and Access Devices	S122
II. Primary and Secondary Continuous Infusions	S84	46. Infiltration and Extravasation	S98	<b>SECTION NINE: INFUSION THERAPIES</b>	
III. Primary Intermittent Infusions	S84	47. Nerve Injuries	S102	57. Parenteral Medication and Solution Administration	S125
IV. Parenteral Nutrition	S85	48. Central Vascular Access Device (CVAD) Occlusion	S104	58. Antineoplastic Therapy	S127
V. Propofol Infusions	S85	49. Infection	S106	59. Biologic Therapy	S129
VI. Blood and Blood Components	S85	50. Air Embolism	S108	60. Patient-Controlled Analgesia	S131
VII. Hemodynamic and Arterial Pressure Monitoring	S85	51. Catheter Damage (Embolism, Repair, Exchange)	S109	61. Parenteral Nutrition	S133
43. Phlebotomy	S85	I. General	S109	62. Transfusion Therapy	S135
I. General	S86	II. Catheter Embolism	S110	63. Moderate Sedation/Analgesia Using Intravenous Infusion	S137
II. Blood Sampling via Direct Venipuncture	S86	III. Catheter Repair	S110	64. Therapeutic Phlebotomy	S138
III. Blood Sampling via a Vascular Access Device	S87	IV. Catheter Exchange	S110	Appendix A. Infusion Team Definition	S140
44. Vascular Access Device (VAD) Removal	S91	52. Central Vascular Access Device (CVAD)-Associated Venous Thrombosis	S112	Appendix B. Illustrations	S141
I. Short Peripheral and Midline Catheters	S91	53. Central Vascular Access Device (CVAD) Malposition	S114	Glossary	S146
II. Nontunneled Central Vascular Access Devices (CVADs)	S91			Index	S156

The *Journal of Infusion Nursing* is a member benefit of the Infusion Nurses Society. INS is a professional association dedicated to enhancing infusion practices that will improve patient outcomes. Through its many member benefits, INS offers access to the latest infusion research, technology, and education. For more information about the benefits of INS membership, visit [www.ins1.org](http://www.ins1.org).

Articles that appear in the *Journal of Infusion Nursing* are selected by a process of double-blind peer review. Articles are reviewed by three or more members of the Editorial Review Board or other selected experts. INS retains final approval on all articles published in this journal.

INS is not responsible for any statements made or opinions expressed herein. INS does not endorse or recommend any product or service discussed or advertised in this publication. Data and information developed by the authors of specific articles are for informational and educational purposes, and are not intended for application without independent, sustaining investigation on the part of potential users.



## FOREWORD

These are exciting times in the field of infusion practice. Never before has there been as much interest, technology, evidence, or cross-disciplinary collaboration in the field as there is today. Whether it's research that informs the safety of a particular vascular access device, guidance for when a device may be appropriate for use, or in-depth reviews of how best to prevent complications—the knowledge, data, and wisdom in our specialty are brimming. For infusion and vascular clinicians all over the world, there has never been a better moment to be on the front lines of patient care.

Yet, this progress does not come without a price, for with these times also comes great responsibility. For example, our patients have never been more complex in terms of their vascular access needs. Unlike times past, a dizzying array of devices, designs, and technology to meet nuanced needs (eg, power injection-capable midline catheters) or fill key niches (ultrasound-guided devices for patients with difficult access) are now available. The very health care system within which we all operate has transformed—improving in many ways, but also becoming more fractured and misaligned in others. As patients transition through the labyrinth of outpatient, hospital, and post-acute care settings, the imperative to do what's right in their vascular access voyage has perhaps never been more urgent than it is today.

In this whirlwind of change, clinicians are expected to not only master the insertion, care, and management of vascular access devices but to also inform clinical decisions regarding device choice and venous access route. Although such opportunities present a unique step forward for the field, they also introduce many new and unexpected challenges. For example, what should one do when limited evidence exists to guide clinical decision making? When available data do not support current practice, how should one approach the patient or provider so as to prevent harm? How may one learn, master, and implement the evidence to enact change in her or his facility? And relatedly, what practices are associated with improved outcomes, and which are relics of times past? In the endless quest to improve the care and quality of infusion practice, knowing what we don't know has become more important than ever before.

Highlighting how fortunate we have been to have the *Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice* serve as the bedrock of our field for so many years is not hyperbole. Rather, the *Standards* represents the best of our specialty: a tome within which excellence, expectations, and enigmas are not only defined but also primed and supported by available data and strength of the evidence. Whether the purpose lies in informing patient care, legal proceedings, or personal edification and growth, no document is more versatile, time-tested, or valuable in the field of infusion practice. As a reviewer and contributor to this 2016 update, I am pleased to say the exulted tradition of the *Standards* continues. With new and improved sections on special patient populations, the definition and role of infusion teams, vascular visualization technologies, and catheter tip location, the 2016 *Standards* incorporates and assimilates the many advances in our field within a single comprehensive document. Not only have new criteria for practice been added but substantial improvements to the key domains of infection prevention, phlebotomy, and device complications have been included.

These significant enhancements reflect the growth in our field and the ever-changing expectations of the public in infusion care. The new *Standards* is thus not merely recommended, but *required* reading for any clinician interested in infusion or vascular therapy.

As a physician researcher dedicated to improving the safety of patients who require vascular access and infusion-based therapies, the *Standards* has informed the work that I do, the questions I ask, and the clinical care I provide. Quite simply put, there is nothing else like it. This edition continues to provide us with critical answers to the many important questions, conundrums, and challenges we face today. I urge you all to read, evaluate, and adapt the recommendations within this document to your care and decision making. Your patients, practice, and society will thank you for it.

Vineet Chopra, MD, MSc  
Ann Arbor VA Medical Center and  
the University of Michigan Health System  
October 2015

## ABOUT THE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE COMMITTEE

**Lisa Gorski, MS, RN, HHCNS-BC, CRNI®, FAAN—Chair**

Clinical Nurse Specialist, Wheaton Franciscan Home Health & Hospice, Milwaukee, WI

Ms. Gorski is a former INS president (2007-2008) who served on the INS Standards of Practice Committee in 2006 and chaired the 2011 committee. She is the author of more than 50 journal articles and has authored several books on the topic of infusion therapy. She is a frequent speaker, both nationally and internationally, on standards development, home health care, and infusion therapy.

**Lynn Hadaway, MEd, RN-BC, CRNI®**

President, Lynn Hadaway Associates, Inc, Atlanta, GA

Ms. Hadaway has more than 40 years of experience as an infusion nurse and is internationally known as a consultant and educator. She is currently serving as the chair for the Infusion Nurses Certification Corporation (INCC) Board of Directors and for the Infusion Team Task Force. She served as a committee member for the revision of the 2006 and 2011 *Standards of Practice*. She has authored more than 75 journal articles and several textbook chapters on infusion therapy. Ms. Hadaway holds board certifications in nursing professional development and infusion nursing.

**Mary E. Hagle, PhD, RN-BC, FAAN**

Nurse Scientist, Clement J. Zablocki VA Medical Center and University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee College of Nursing, Milwaukee, WI

Dr. Hagle joined the Standards of Practice Committee for the 2011 edition and returned for this updated version, refining the “Strength of the Body of Evidence” document after 5 years’ use and serving as a reference point for the quality of evidence. With 15 years’ experience as a researcher and more than 20 years as a clinical nurse specialist in academic and community medical centers, she has worked with patients and nurses in acute, ambulatory, and long-term care settings. Focusing on vascular access device management and prevention of adverse events, Dr. Hagle is a mentor for research and quality improvement teams, a leader for translating evidence into practice, and a clinical investigator.

**Mary McGoldrick, MS, RN, CRNI®**

Home Care and Hospice Consultant, Home Health Systems, Inc, Saint Simons Island, GA

Ms. McGoldrick began her home care career more than 35 years ago, and since that time she has served in a myriad of home care clinical, management, and executive-level positions, including 12 years as a home care and hospice surveyor for The Joint Commission (TJC). She is a frequent speaker on the topic of infection prevention in home care and hospice and has authored several books, articles, chapters, and manuals.



**Marsha Orr, MS, RN**

Distance Education Faculty Liaison and Full-time Lecturer, California State University (CSUF) School of Nursing (SON), Fullerton, CA

Ms. Orr is a full-time faculty member at CSUF and acts as a resource person to SON faculty in the area of using technology for teaching and for principles of online learning. She is an entrepreneur and consultant for home infusion nursing and home medical equipment and is a home accreditation surveyor for these areas. Her specialty practice areas include infusion therapy, vascular access, and nutrition support. She is a past board member and nursing committee chair for the American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition.

**Darcy Doellman, MSN, RN, CRNI®, VA-BC**

Clinical Manager, Vascular Access Team at Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH

Ms. Doellman's work over the past 30 years has included short peripheral catheter and peripherally inserted central catheter insertions, central line troubleshooting, education, publications, and research in the neonatal and pediatric population. She has certification in vascular access and infusion therapy.

**STANDARDS OF PRACTICE COMMITTEE**

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES**

The authors have completed and submitted a form for disclosure of potential conflicts of interest. **Lisa Gorski** reported relationships with ivWatch, BD, 3M, and Covidien; **Lynn Hadaway** reported relationships with 3M, BD, Terumo, Excelsior, Ivera, B Braun, Baxter, Covidien, DEKA, Discrub, SplashCap, Velano Vascular, VATA, West Pharmaceuticals, Elcam, Christie Medical, and Bard Access; **Mary Hagle**, **Mary McGoldrick**, and **Marsha Orr** reported no relationships; and **Darcy Doellman** reported relationships with Arrow International, Hospira, and Genentech.

The content is the responsibility of the authors alone and does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States government, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. government.

**Suggested citation for this publication:** Gorski L, Hadaway L, Hagle ME, McGoldrick M, Orr M, Doellman D. Infusion therapy standards of practice. *J Infus Nurs.* 2016;39(suppl 1):S1-S159.

## P R E F A C E

**R**ecognized as the premier organization for the specialty practice of infusion nursing, the Infusion Nurses Society (INS) understands the significance the *Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice* (the *Standards*) holds in relation to the delivery of safe patient care. Developing and disseminating *Standards* is one of the pillars of INS' mission. Infusion therapy is administered to all patient populations in all practice settings, all the more reason to ensure the *Standards* are applied to one's clinical practice. It provides a framework to guide safe practice to ensure the best patient outcomes. There is an expectation that all clinicians are competent in their practice.

With more published research, advances in science, and innovation in technology, it's imperative that the *Standards* is relevant to the clinician's practice. Therefore, INS is committed to revising the document every 5 years. This seventh edition cites 350 more references than the sixth edition of the *Standards* (2011), a testament to the advancing science of infusion therapy. The rankings of the strength of the body of evidence have also shifted in this edition. In 2011, there were 3.8% of Level I rankings, the highest rating. In this revision, that ranking has grown to 5.8%, evidence that there is more robust research with consistent findings in the literature to support the practice. In contrast, the percentage of Level V rankings, the lowest rating, was 67% in 2011 and has decreased to 46% in this document. With more published data and research adding to the science of the practice, the distribution of rankings has changed based on the nature and robustness of the research. As we've seen over time, more strong evidence has provided clinicians with information and data that can justify existing practice or lead to a change in practice.

A major change in this edition of the *Standards* is its title. Infusion therapy does not "belong" to one group of clinicians, but it is the responsibility of any clinician who is involved in the practice. Recognizing infusion care goes beyond nursing, the title has been changed to the *Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice*. This change aligns with the interprofessional approach that is being implemented in health care today.

In this edition, new standards have been added, while other sections have been expanded to offer more guidance to clinicians. The format remains unchanged with practice criteria and relevant references listed after each set of standards.

INS' focus has never changed. We still keep in mind that our patients are the reason we do what we do. We want to ensure we're providing the safe, quality infusion care that our patients deserve. As INS continues to "set the standards for infusion care," the *Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice* is an invaluable guide for *all* clinicians who are responsible for their patients' infusion care.

## A MESSAGE FROM BD MEDICAL

**W**e at BD feel honored to support the *Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice* revision for the fifth time since 1998, as part of our commitment to helping more efficiently deliver health care and improve patient outcomes. With a long history of providing global education and training on best practices, we award grants for education and research to promote innovative solutions in infusion therapy and across the care continuum.

We applaud the Infusion Nurses Society (INS) for striving to keep the *Standards of Practice* current, relevant, and evidence based, helping millions of clinicians provide quality infusion therapy to their patients. We look forward to working with INS in the future while helping improve infusion therapy around the world.

Alicia Mares, BSN, RN, CRNI®  
*Clinical Marketing Manager*  
BD Medical

Richard Ji  
*Vice President, Catheter Solutions*  
BD Medical

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

INS recognizes the significance the *Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice* has to clinical practice and to all clinicians involved in the delivery of safe infusion care. Without the following dedicated individuals and their passion for quality patient care, the seventh edition of the *Standards* would not have been possible.

First, I want to recognize and thank the Standards of Practice Committee: Lisa Gorski, chair; Lynn Hadaway; Mary Hagle; Mary McGoldrick; Marsha Orr; and Darcy Doellman. They spent countless hours researching and critically analyzing the evidence, and writing, reviewing, and revising all the *Standards*. Not only is the depth of their expertise in clinical practice, research, and infusion-related knowledge unsurpassed, but their commitment to this important work is also exceptional.

Thanks go to the reviewers of the *Standards*. From INS members and volunteer leaders, to physicians, pharmacists, legal experts, health care clinicians, and industry partners, their thoughtful reviews and feedback contributed to the global perspective and interprofessional approach of the document.

I want to thank the INS Board of Directors for supporting the efforts of the Standards of Practice Committee during the revision process. I am grateful to the INS staff for the assistance they offered in ensuring that the publication was completed.

I also want to recognize BD Medical for their continuous support over the years of the *Standards of Practice* revisions. INS thanks them for the educational grant that helped fund this project.

Lastly, I want to thank our INS members. It is your passion and commitment to providing quality patient care that motivates us to continue to support the infusion specialty practice.

Mary Alexander, MA, RN, CRNI®, CAE, FAAN  
*Chief Executive Officer, INS*

## METHODOLOGY FOR DEVELOPING THE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE

### **Role of the Standards of Practice Committee**

The Standards of Practice Committee brought together a group of professional nurses with a wealth of clinical knowledge and expertise in all the domains of infusion therapy. They initially met to review and agree on the evidence rating scale and to discuss methods and sources of searching for evidence. They also agreed on how to evaluate types of evidence. Throughout the *Standards* review and revision process, the committee met regularly by phone, reviewed each standard in detail, and came to consensus on the final strength of the body of evidence rating for the final draft of the *Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice*. This draft then was sent to over 90 interdisciplinary reviewers who are experts in the field, comprising all aspects of infusion therapy. Sixty reviewers provided in excess of 790 comments, suggestions, references, and questions. The committee addressed each comment and made revisions to the standards, seeking additional evidence as needed. Each standard had a final review by the committee for agreement on the content, evidence, recommendation, and rating.

The standards are written for clinicians of multiple disciplines with various educational backgrounds, training, certification, and licensing, including licensed independent practitioners, because infusion therapy may be provided by any one of these individuals. The premise is that patients deserve infusion therapy based on the best available evidence, irrespective of the discipline of the clinician who provides that therapy while operating within her or his scope of practice.

### **Searching for Best Evidence**

A literature search was conducted for each of the standards of practice using key words and subject headings related to the standard. Searches were limited to English-language, peer-reviewed journals published between 2009 and July 2015. Databases included, but were not limited to, Cochrane Library, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), MEDLINE, PubMed, and Web of Science. The references of retrieved articles were reviewed for relevant literature.

Additional sources of evidence included, but were not limited to, the Web sites of professional organizations, manufacturers, pharmaceutical organizations, and the United States Pharmacopeia (USP). US sites included the US Department of Health and Human Services for national centers, such as the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA); and the US Department of Labor (eg, Occupational Safety and Health Administration [OSHA]). Classic papers were included as needed. On occasion, textbooks served as sources of evidence when clinical research and scholarship are widely accepted, such as for anatomy and physiology. Because standards of practice are written for all health care settings and all populations, evidence was included for each of these areas as available.

### **Evaluating Evidence**

Each item of evidence is evaluated from many perspectives, and the highest, most robust evidence relating to the standards of practice is used. Research evidence is preferred over nonresearch evidence. For research evidence, the study design is the initial means for ranking. Other aspects of evaluation of quality include sufficient sample size based on a power analysis, appropriate statistical analysis, examination of the negative cases, and consideration of threats to internal and external validity.

Research on research, such as meta-analyses and systematic reviews, is the highest level of evidence. Only specific study designs are acceptable for a meta-analysis, and with its statistical analysis, this is the most robust type of evidence. Single studies with strong research designs, such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs), form the basis for research on research or a strong body of evidence when there are several RCTs with similar findings. Other research designs are needed as well for a developing area of science and often before an RCT can be conducted. A necessary and foundational study for learning about a question or a population is the descriptive research project, but because of its lack of research controls, it is ranked at a low level of evidence for clinical practice.